

Application No. 10/763,023
Amendment Dated April 26, 2005
Reply to Office Action of February 28, 2005

REMARKS

In the Office Action dated February 25, 2005, claims 1-6 were once again rejected by the Examiner, and this time the Examiner made the rejection final. In response, Applicant is filing two Terminal Disclaimers. In view of the Terminal Disclaimers and the following remarks, reconsideration of this application is requested.


In the Office Action, claims 1-6 were rejected under the judicially created Doctrine of Obviousness Type Double Patenting as being unpatentable over claims 36-41 of U.S. Patent 5,945,410 as well as claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent 6,667,298. In response, Applicant has filed the enclosed two Terminal Disclaimers. In view of the enclosed Terminal Disclaimers, Applicant believes the Examiner should now withdraw the obvious type double patenting rejection of claims 1-6.

An effort has been made to place this application in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP

By



Thomas M. Wozny
Reg. No. 28,922
(414) 271-7590

Andrus, Sceales, Starke & Sawall, LLP
100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1100
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202